

Answers to Selected Exercises

Chapter 1

- 1.1 a.** the student **b.** the exam **c.** the patient
d. the plant **e.** the car
- 1.3 a.** discrete **b.** continuous **c.** continuous
d. discrete
- 1.5 a.** vehicles **b.** type (qualitative); make (qualitative); carpool (qualitative); distance (quantitative continuous); age (quantitative continuous) **c.** multivariate
- 1.7** The population is the set of voter preferences for all voters in the state. Voter preferences may change over time.
- 1.9 a.** score on the reading test; quantitative
b. the student **c.** the set of scores for all deaf students who hypothetically might take the test
- 1.11 a.** a pair of jeans **b.** the state in which the jeans are produced; qualitative **e.** 8/25
f. California **g.** The three states produce roughly the same numbers of jeans.
- 1.13 a.** no; add a category called "Other"
- 1.15 a.** no **b.** not quite **c.** the bar chart
- 1.17** Answers will vary.
- 1.19 a.** eight to ten class intervals
c. 43/50 **d.** 33/50 **e.** yes
- 1.21 b.** .30 **c.** .70 **d.** .30
e. relatively symmetric; no
- 1.25 b.** centered at 75; two peaks (bimodal)
c. Scores are divided into two groups according to student abilities.
- 1.27 a.** pie chart, bar chart
- 1.29 c.** the Pareto chart
- 1.31 a.** skewed right; several outliers
- 1.33 b.** Stem-and-leaf of Ages N = 37
Leaf Unit = 1.0
- | | | |
|-----|---|---------|
| 2 | 4 | 69 |
| 3 | 5 | 3 |
| 7 | 5 | 6678 |
| 13 | 6 | 003344 |
| (6) | 6 | 567778 |
| 18 | 7 | 0111234 |
| 11 | 7 | 7889 |
| 7 | 8 | 013 |
| 4 | 8 | 58 |
| 2 | 9 | 00 |
- relatively symmetric **c.** Kennedy, Garfield, and Lincoln were assassinated.
- 1.35 b.** 0.05
- 1.37 a.** number of hazardous waste sites (discrete) **b.** skewed right **c.** size of the state; amount of industrial activity
- 1.39 a.** skewed **b.** symmetric **c.** symmetric
d. symmetric **e.** skewed **f.** skewed
- 1.41 a.** continuous **b.** continuous **c.** discrete
d. discrete **e.** discrete
- 1.43**
- | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 7 | | 8 | 9 | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | 0 | 1 | 7 | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 |
| 10 | | 1 | 7 | 9 | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | |
- 1.45 c.** skewed right
- 1.49 a.** no **b.** roughly mound-shaped
- 1.51 a.** skewed right **c.** yes; large states
- 1.53 a.** Popular vote is skewed right; percent vote is relatively symmetric. **b.** yes **c.** Once the size of the state is removed, each state will be measured on an equal basis.
- 1.55 d.** Answers will vary.
- 1.57 a.** no

1.59 b. bimodal distribution, outliers; different kiln sites **c.** yes

1.63 a. Stem-and-Leaf Display: Percent

Stem-and-leaf of Percent N = 51
Leaf Unit = 1.0

```

1  0  8
3  1  00
3  1
5  1  44
10 1  66777
20 1  8888889999
(11) 2  00000000011
20 2  233333
14 2  444555
8  2  67
6  2  89
4  3  01
2  3  22

```

b. roughly mound-shaped
c. three somewhat low—Alaska, Georgia, and New Jersey

1.65 Use a pie chart or a bar chart.

1.67 a. approximately mound-shaped
b. bar centered at 100.8
c. slightly above the center

1.69 a. somewhat mound-shaped **b.** .2

1.73 a–b. skewed left **c.** 8 and 11

Chapter 2

2.1 b. $\bar{x} = 2$; $m = 1$; mode = 1 **c.** skewed

2.3 a. 5.8 **b.** 5.5 **c.** 5 and 6

2.5 a. slightly skewed right **c.** $\bar{x} = 1.08$;
 $m = 1$; mode = 1

2.7 2.5 is an average number calculated (or estimated) for all families in a particular category.

2.9 The median, because the distribution is highly skewed to the right.

2.11 a. $\bar{x} = 4.72$; $m = 3.50$; mode = 1
b. skewed right **c.** yes

2.13 a. 2.4 **b.** 2.8 **c.** 1.673

2.15 a. 3 **b.** 2.125 **c.** $s^2 = 1.2679$;
 $s = 1.126$

2.17 a. 1.11 **b.** $s^2 = .19007$, $s = .436$
c. $R \approx 2.5s$

2.19 a. $s \approx 1.67$ **b.** $s = 1.75$ **c.** no
d. yes **e.** no

2.21 a. approximately .68 **b.** approximately .95
c. approximately .815 **d.** approximately .16

2.23 a. $s \approx .20$ **b.** $\bar{x} = .76$; $s = .165$

2.25 a. approximately .68 **b.** approximately .95
c. approximately .003

2.27 a. ≈ 4.5 **b.** ≈ 2.25 **c.** $\bar{x} = 4.586$;
 $s = 2.892$

2.29 a. skewed right **b.** 0 to 104 days

2.31 b. $\bar{x} = 7.729$ **c.** $s = 1.985$

k	$\bar{x} \pm ks$	Actual	Tchebysheff	Empirical Rule
1	(5.744, 9.714)	.71	At least 0	Approx. .68
2	(3.759, 11.699)	.96	At least 3/4	Approx. .95
3	(1.774, 13.684)	1.00	At least 8/9	Approx. .997

2.33 a. 42 **b.** $s \approx 10.5$ **c.** $s = 13.10$
d. 1.00; 1.00; yes

2.35 a. $s \approx .444$ **b.** $s = .436$

2.37 a–b. $\bar{x} = 1.4$; $s^2 = 1.4$

2.39 a. $\bar{x} = 2.04$; $s = 2.806$

b–c.

k	$\bar{x} \pm ks$	Actual	Tchebysheff	Empirical Rule
1	(−.766, 4.846)	.84	At least 0	Approx. .68
2	(−3.572, 7.652)	.92	At least 3/4	Approx. .95
3	(−6.378, 10.458)	1.00	At least 8/9	Approx. .997

2.41

Sorted Data Set	Position of Q_1	Measurements Above and Below Q_1	Q_1	Position of Q_3	Measurements Above and Below Q_3	Q_3
1, 1.5, 2, 2, 2.2	1.5	1 and 1.5	1.25	4.5	2 and 2.2	2.1
0, 1.7, 1.8, 3.1, 3.2, 7, 8, 8.8, 8.9, 9, 10	3	None	1.8	9	None	8.9
.23, .30, .35, .41, .56, .58, .76, .80	2.25	.30 and .35	.3125	6.75	.58 and .76	.7150

2.43 min = 0, $Q_1 = 6$, $m = 10$, $Q_3 = 14$,
max = 19; IQR = 8

2.45 lower and upper fences: −2.25 and 15.25;
 $x = 22$ is an outlier

2.47 a. min = 1.70, $Q_1 = 130.5$, $m = 246.5$,
 $Q_3 = 317.5$, max = 485
b. lower and upper fences: −150 and 598
c–d. No, but there are four extremely small observations, not identified by the box plot as outliers.

2.49 a. Variable	Minimum	Q1	Median	Q3	Maximum
Favre	5.00	19.25	22.00	24.75	31.00
Manning	14.00	20.25	23.50	26.75	32.00

b. *Favre*: lower and upper fences: 11 and 33; one outlier ($x = 5$); relatively symmetric, except for the outlier. *Manning*: upper and lower fences: 10.5 and 36.5; no outliers, relatively symmetric.

2.51 a. skewed left **b.** $\bar{x} = 108.15$; $m = 123.5$; mean < median implies skewed left **c.** lower and upper fences: -43.125 and 259.875 ; skewed left, no outliers.

2.53 Female temperatures have a higher center (median) and are more variable; three outliers in the female group.

2.55 a. *Generic*: $m = 26$, $Q_1 = 25$, $Q_3 = 27.25$, $IQR = 2.25$; *Sunmaid*: $m = 26$, $Q_1 = 24$, $Q_3 = 28$, $IQR = 4$ **b.** *Generic*: lower and upper fences: 21.625 and 30.625; *Sunmaid*: lower and upper fences: 18 and 34 **c.** yes **d.** The average size is nearly the same; individual raisin sizes are more variable for Sunmaid raisins.

2.57 a. $R = 32.1$ **b.** $s \approx 8.025$ **c.** $s = 7.671$

2.59 $m = 6.35$, $Q_1 = 2.325$, $Q_3 = 12.825$; lower and upper fences: -13.425 and 28.575 ; one outlier ($x = 32.3$).

2.61 a, b.

k	$\bar{x} \pm ks$	Tchebysheff	Empirical Rule
1	(.16, .18)	At least 0	Approx. .68
2	(.15, .19)	At least 3/4	Approx. .95
3	(.14, .20)	At least 8/9	Approx. .997

c. No, distribution of $n = 4$ measurements cannot be mound-shaped.

2.63 68%; 95%

2.65 a. 27; 20.2; 6.8 **b.** slightly skewed left **c.** 23.96; 1.641 **d.** largest $x = 27$, z -score = 1.85; smallest $x = 20.2$, z -score = -2.29 ; no **e.** 24.3 **f.** 22.95 and 24.85

2.67 a. $s \approx 7.75$ **b.** $\bar{x} = 59.2$; $s = 10.369$ **c.** $m = 60$, $Q_1 = 51.25$, $Q_3 = 69.75$; lower and upper fences: 23.5 and 97.5; no outliers.

2.69 $\sigma \approx 100$

2.71 a. 16% **b.** 81.5%

2.73 a. .9735 **b.** .16

2.75 a. .025 **b.** .84

2.77 a. At least 3/4 have between 145 and 205 teachers. **b.** .16

2.81 a. 8.36 **b.** 4 **c.** skewed right **d.** lower and upper fences: -24.375 and 42.625 ; no; yes

2.83 b. yes **c.** more than 2 or 3 standard deviations from the mean

2.85 a. 2.5, 3.75, 4.2, 4.75, 5.7 **b.** lower and upper fences: 2.25 and 6.25 **c.** no **d.** mound-shaped; yes

2.87 b. the sample mean gets smaller **d.** $5 \leq m \leq 10$

2.89 c. The standard deviation when dividing by $n - 1$ is closer to σ .

2.91 b–c. skewed left with one outlier to the right of the other observations ($x = 520$)

Chapter 3

3.3 a. comparative pie charts; side-by-side or stacked bar charts **c.** Proportions spent in all four categories are substantially different for men and women.

3.5 a. *Population*: responses to free time question for all parents and children in the United States. *Sample*: responses for the 398 people in the survey. **b.** bivariate data, measuring relationship (qualitative) and response (qualitative) **c.** the number of people who fall into that relationship-opinion category **e.** stacked or side-by-side bar charts

3.9

x	y	xy	Calculate:	Covariance
1	6	6	$n = 3$	$s_{xy} = \frac{\sum xy - \frac{(\sum x)(\sum y)}{n}}{n - 1} = -2$
3	2	6	$s_x = 1$	
2	4	8	$s_y = 2$	
$\sum x = 6$	$\sum y = 12$	$\sum xy = 20$		Correlation Coefficient
				$r = \frac{s_{xy}}{s_x s_y} = -1$

3.11 b. As x increases, y increases. **c.** .903 **d.** $y = 3.58 + .815x$; yes

3.13 b. As x increases, y decreases. **c.** $-.987$

3.15 a. $y = 56.11 + 23.83x$ **c.** \$199.06; no

3.17 b. slight positive trend **c.** $r = .760$

3.19 a. price = dependent variable; size = independent variable **b.** yes

3.21 b. The professor's productivity appears to increase, with less time required to write later books; no.

3.23 a. age of the soldier (quantitative), status of soldier—enlisted or officer (qualitative), branch

- of service (qualitative) **b.** the population of responses for all soldiers in the U.S. Army and the U.S. Marine Corps; population at a fixed moment in time **c.** side-by-side bar charts; stacked bar charts
- 3.27** **a.** .882 (.886 using Minitab printout)
b. x = weeks in release, y = gross to date
c. .148; $-.642$
- 3.29** **a.** no **b.** $r = -.039$; yes **c.** Large cluster in lower left corner shows no apparent relationship; 7 to 10 states form a cluster with a negative linear trend **d.** local environmental regulations; population per square mile; geographic region
- 3.31** **a.** aluminum oxide (quantitative), site (qualitative) **b.** higher levels of aluminum oxide at Ashley Rails and Island Thorns
- 3.33** **a.** year (quantitative), number of home networks (quantitative), type of network (qualitative) **c.** Wireless networking will increase and wired networks will decrease.
- 3.35** **a.** strong positive linear relationship
b. .946 **c.** $b \approx 1$ **d.** $y = 12.221 + .815x$
- 3.37** **b.** strong positive linear relationship
- 3.39** **b.** weak negative linear relationship
c. yes; Juneau, AK
d. stronger negative relationship
- 3.43** **a.** .635
- 3.45** **a.** 0.5 **b.** increase **c.** 2.0; the y -intercept
d. 3.25; 4

Chapter 4

- 4.1** **a.** {1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6} **c.** 1/6
e. $P(A) = 1/6$; $P(B) = 1/2$; $P(C) = 2/3$;
 $P(D) = 1/6$; $P(E) = 1/2$; $P(F) = 0$
- 4.3** $P(E_1) = .45$; $P(E_2) = .15$; $P(E_i) = .05$ for $i = 3, 4, \dots, 10$
- 4.5** **a.** {NDQ, NDH, NQH, DQH} **b.** 3/4
c. 3/4
- 4.9** **a.** .58 **b.** .14 **c.** .46
- 4.11** **a.** randomly selecting three people and recording their gender **b.** {FFF, FMM, MFM, MMF, MFF, FMF, FFM, MMM}
c. 1/8 **d.** 3/8 **e.** 1/8
- 4.13** **a.** rank A, B, C
b. { $ABC, ACB, BAC, BCA, CAB, CBA$ }
d. 1/3, 1/3
- 4.15** **a.** .467 **b.** .513 **c.** .533
- 4.17** 80
- 4.19** **a.** 60 **b.** 3,628,800
c. 720 **d.** 20
- 4.21** 6720
- 4.23** 216
- 4.25** 120
- 4.27** 720
- 4.29** **a.** 140,608 **b.** 132,600 **c.** .00037
d. .943
- 4.31** **a.** 2,598,960 **b.** 4 **c.** .000001539
- 4.33** $5.720645 \times (10^{12})$
- 4.35** **a.** 49 **b.** 1/49 **c.** 2/7
- 4.37** 1/56
- 4.39** $\frac{4!(3!)^4}{12!}$
- 4.41**
- | $P(A)$ | $P(B)$ | Conditions for Events A and B | $P(A \cap B)$ | $P(A \cup B)$ | $P(A B)$ |
|--------|--------|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------|
| .3 | .4 | Mutually exclusive | 0 | .7 | 0 |
| .3 | .4 | Independent | .12 | .58 | .3 |
| .1 | .5 | Independent | .05 | .55 | .1 |
| .2 | .5 | Mutually exclusive | 0 | .7 | 0 |
- 4.43** **a.** 3/5 **b.** 4/5
- 4.45** **a.** 1 **b.** 1/5 **c.** 1/5
- 4.47** **a.** 1 **b.** 1 **c.** 1/3 **d.** 0 **e.** 1/3
f. 0 **g.** 0 **h.** 1 **i.** 5/6
- 4.49** **a.** .08 **b.** .52
- 4.51** **a.** .3 **b.** no **c.** yes
- 4.53** **a.** no, since $P(A \cap B) \neq 0$
b. no, since $P(A) \neq P(A|B)$
- 4.55** **a.** .14 **b.** .56 **c.** .30
- 4.59** **a.** $P(A) = .9918$; $P(B) = .0082$
b. $P(A) = .9836$; $P(B) = .0164$
- 4.61** .05
- 4.63** **a.** .99 **b.** .01
- 4.65** **a.** 154/256 **b.** 155/256 **c.** 88/256
d. 88/154 **e.** 44/67 **f.** 23/35
g. 12/101 **h.** 189/256
- 4.67** **a.** .64 **b.** .4982 **c.** .011236
- 4.69** **a.** .23 **b.** .6087; .3913
- 4.71** .38
- 4.73** .012
- 4.75** **a.** .6585 **b.** .3415 **c.** left

- 4.77** .3130
- 4.79** a. $P(D) = .10$; $P(D^C) = .90$; $P(N|D^C) = .94$;
 $P(N|D) = .20$ b. .023 c. .023
 d. .056 e. .20 f. false negative
- 4.81** a. continuous b. continuous
 c. discrete d. discrete e. continuous
- 4.83** a. .2 c. $\mu = 1.9$; $\sigma^2 = 1.29$; $\sigma = 1.136$
 d. .3 e. .9
- 4.85** 1.5
- 4.87** a. {S, FS, FFS, FFFS}
 b. $p(1) = p(2) = p(3) = p(4) = 1/4$
- 4.89** a. $p(0) = 3/10$; $p(1) = 6/10$; $p(2) = 1/10$
- 4.91** a. .1; .09; .081 b. $p(x) = (.9)^{x-1}(.1)$
- 4.93** a. 4.0656 b. 4.125 c. 3.3186
- 4.95** \$1500
- 4.97** a. .28 b. .18 c. $\mu = 1.32$; $\sigma = 1.199$
 d. .94
- 4.99** \$20,500
- 4.101** .0713
- 4.103** $P(A) = 1/2$; $P(B) = 2/3$; $P(A \cap B) = 1/3$;
 $P(A \cup B) = 5/6$; $P(C) = 1/6$; $P(A \cap C) = 0$;
 $P(A \cup C) = 2/3$
- 4.105** 2/7
- 4.107** $p(0) = .0256$; $p(1) = .1536$; $p(2) = .3456$;
 $p(3) = .3456$; $p(4) = .1296$; .4752
- 4.109** a. .4565 b. .2530 c. .3889
- 4.111** 3/10; 6/10
- 4.113** a. .73 b. .27
- 4.115** .999999
- 4.117** 8
- 4.119** a. .3582 b. .4883 c. .4467
- 4.121** a. 1/8 b. 1/64 c. Not necessarily;
 they could have studied together, and so on.
- 4.123** a. 5/6 b. 25/36 c. 11/36
- 4.125** a. .8 b. .64 c. .36
- 4.127** .0256; .1296
- 4.129** .2; .1
- 4.131** a. .5182 b. .1136 c. .7091
 d. .3906
- 4.133** a. .0625 b. .25
- 4.135** a.

x	0	1	2
$p(x)$	6/15	8/15	1/15

 b. $\mu = 2/3$; $\sigma^2 = 16/45$

- 4.137** a. $p(2) = p(12) = 1/36$, $p(3) = p(11) = 2/36$,
 $p(4) = p(10) = 3/36$, $p(5) = p(9) = 4/36$,
 $p(6) = p(8) = 5/36$, $p(7) = 6/36$
- 4.139** a. $p(0) = .5$, $p(1) = .5$

Chapter 5

5.1

k	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$P(x \leq k)$.000	.001	.011	.058	.194	.448	.745	.942	1.000

The Problem	List the Values of x	Write the Probability	Rewrite the Probability	Find the Probability
3 or less	0, 1, 2, 3	$P(x \leq 3)$	not needed	.058
3 or more	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8	$P(x \geq 3)$	$1 - P(x \leq 2)$.989
More than 3	4, 5, 6, 7, 8	$P(x > 3)$	$1 - P(x \leq 3)$.942
Fewer than 3	0, 1, 2	$P(x < 3)$	$P(x \leq 2)$.011
Between 3 and 5 (inclusive)	3, 4, 5	$P(3 \leq x \leq 5)$	$P(x \leq 5) - P(x \leq 2)$.437
Exactly 3	3	$P(x = 3)$	$P(x \leq 3) - P(x \leq 2)$.047

- 5.3** not binomial; dependent trials; p varies from trial to trial.
- 5.5** a. .2965 b. .8145 c. .1172
 d. .3670
- 5.7** a. .097 b. .329 c. .671 d. 2.1
 e. 1.212
- 5.9** $p(0) = .000$; $p(1) = .002$; $p(2) = .015$;
 $p(3) = .082$; $p(4) = .246$; $p(5) = .393$;
 $p(6) = .262$
- 5.11** a. .251 b. .618 c. .367 d. .633
 e. 4 f. 1.549
- 5.13** a. .901 b. .015 c. .002 d. .998
- 5.15** a. .748 b. .610 c. .367 d. .966
 e. .656
- 5.17** a. 1; .99 b. 90; 3 c. 30; 4.58
 d. 70; 4.58 e. 50; 5
- 5.19** a. .9568 b. .957 c. .9569
 d. $\mu = 2$; $\sigma = 1.342$ e. .7455; .9569; .9977
 f. yes; yes
- 5.21** no; the variable is not the number of successes in n trials. Instead, the number of trials n is variable.
- 5.23** a. 1.000 b. .997 c. .086
- 5.25** a. .098 b. .991 c. .098 d. .138
 e. .430 f. .902

- 5.27** a. .0081 b. .4116 c. .2401
5.29 a. $\mu = 10$ b. 4 to 16 c. If this unlikely value were actually observed, it might be possible that the trials (fields) are not independent.
5.31 a. .016796 c. .98320
5.33 a. .107 b. .762

5.35

Probability	Formula	Calculated Value
$P(x = 0)$	$\frac{\mu^k e^{-\mu}}{k!} = \frac{2.5^0 e^{-2.5}}{0!}$.082085
$P(x = 1)$	$\frac{\mu^k e^{-\mu}}{k!} = \frac{2.5^1 e^{-2.5}}{1!}$.205212
$P(x = 2)$	$\frac{\mu^k e^{-\mu}}{k!} = \frac{2.5^2 e^{-2.5}}{2!}$.256516
$P(2 \text{ or fewer successes})$	$P(x = 0) + P(x = 1)$.543813

5.37

k	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$P(x \leq k)$.055	.199	.423	.647	.815	.916	.966	.988	.996	.999	1.000

The Problem	List the Values of x	Write the Probability	Rewrite the Probability	Find the Probability
3 or less	0, 1, 2, 3	$P(x \leq 3)$	not needed	.647
3 or more	3, 4, 5, ...	$P(x \geq 3)$	$1 - P(x \leq 2)$.577
More than 3	4, 5, 6, ...	$P(x > 3)$	$1 - P(x \leq 3)$.353
Fewer than 3	0, 1, 2	$P(x < 3)$	$P(x \leq 2)$.423
Between 3 and 5 (inclusive)	3, 4, 5	$P(3 \leq x \leq 5)$	$P(x \leq 5) - P(x \leq 2)$.493
Exactly 3	3	$P(x = 3)$	$P(x \leq 3) - P(x \leq 2)$.224

- 5.39** a. .135335 b. .27067 c. .593994
d. .036089
5.41 a. .677 b. .6767 c. yes
5.43 a. .0067 b. .1755 c. .560
5.45 a. .271 b. .594 c. .406
5.47 $P(x > 5) = .017$; unlikely.
5.49 a. .6 b. .5143 c. .0714
5.51 a. $p(0) = .36$; $p(1) = .48$; $p(2) = .15$; $p(3) = .01$
c. $\mu = .8$, $\sigma^2 = .50286$ d. .99; .99; yes
5.53 $p(0) = .2$; $p(1) = .6$; $p(2) = .2$
5.55 a. hypergeometric b. .1786 c. .01786
d. .2857
5.61 a. $p(0) = .729$; $p(1) = .243$; $p(2) = .027$;
 $p(3) = .001$ c. .3; .520 d. .729; .972
5.63 a. .234 b. .136 c. Claim is not unlikely.
5.65 a. .228 b. no indication that people are more likely to choose middle numbers

- 5.67** a. $\mu = 280$ b. $\sigma = 9.165$ c. 261.67 to 298.33 d. yes; $x = 225$ lies 6 standard deviations below the mean.
5.69 a. 20 b. 4 c. .006 d. Psychiatrist is incorrect.
5.71 a. $\mu = 50$; $\sigma = 6.124$
b. The value $x = 35$ lies 2.45 standard deviations below the mean. It is somewhat unlikely that the 25% figure is representative of this campus.
5.73 a. .5 b. $\mu = 12.5$; $\sigma = 2.5$ c. There is a preference for the second design.
5.75 a. yes; $n = 10$; $p = .25$ b. .2440
c. .0000296 d. Yes; genetic model is not behaving as expected.
5.77 a. yes b. $1/8192 = .00012$
5.79 a. hypergeometric, or approximately binomial
b. Poisson c. approximately .85; .72; .61
5.81 a. .015625 b. .421875 c. .25
5.83 a. $p = 1/3$ b. .3292 c. .8683
5.85 a. 14 b. 2.049 c. no; $x = 10$ is only 1.95 standard deviations below the mean
5.87 a. .135335 b. .676676
5.89 .655
5.91 a. .794 b. .056 c. -0.82 to 3.82 or 0 to 3
5.93 a. 36 b. 4.8
c. Yes, since $x = 49$ lies 2.71 standard deviations above the mean.
5.95 a. .00006 b. .042 c. .0207
d. .5948 e. 1
5.99 a. .0176 b. .9648 c. .9648

Chapter 6

6.1

The Interval	Write the Probability	Rewrite the Probability (if needed)	Find the Probability
Less than -2	$P(z < -2)$	not needed	.0228
Greater than 1.16	$P(z > 1.16)$	$1 - P(z \leq 1.16)$.1230
Greater than 1.645	$P(z > 1.645)$	$1 - P(z \leq 1.645)$.0500
Between -2.33 and 2.33	$P(-2.33 < z < 2.33)$	$P(z \leq 2.33) - P(z \leq -2.33)$.9802
Between 1.24 and 2.58	$P(1.24 < z < 2.58)$	$P(z \leq 2.58) - P(z \leq 1.24)$.1026
Less than or equal to 1.88	$P(z \leq 1.88)$	not needed	.9699

- 6.3** a. .9452 b. .9664 c. .8159 d. 1.0000
6.5 a. .6753 b. .2401 c. .2694
 d. .0901 e. ≈ 0
6.7 a. 1.96 b. 1.44
6.9 a. 1.65 b. -1.645
6.11 a. 1.28 b. 1.645 c. 2.05 d. 2.33
6.13 a. .1596 b. .1151 c. .1359
6.15 58.3
6.17 $\mu = 8; \sigma = 2$
6.19 a. .1949 b. .4870 c. no d. yes;
 $y = 18$ lies 3.82 standard deviations above the mean.
6.21 a. .4586 b. .0526 c. .0170
6.23 .1562; .0012
6.25 a. .0475 b. .00226 c. 29.12 to 40.88
 d. 38.84
6.27 a. .1056 b. .2676
6.29 .0475
6.31 63,550
6.33 a. .3085 b. .2417 c. .0045
6.35 a. 15; 10 b. yes c. 15; 2.449
 d. 10, 11, ..., 25 e. 10; 9.5
 f. $z = -2.25$ g. $-2.25; .0122; .9878$
6.37 a. yes b. $\mu = 7.5; \sigma = 2.291$ c. .6156
 d. .618
6.39 a. .2676 b. .3520 c. .3208 d. .9162
6.41 a. .178 b. .392
6.43 a. .245 b. .2483
6.45 a. .0446 b. .0104 c. .0446
6.47 .9441
6.49 a. .5000 b. They do not consider height when casting their ballot.
6.51 a. .0050 b. .8394 c. .9767
 d. yes; Pepsi's market share is higher than claimed.
6.53 a. 31 b. 3.432 c. no; $x = 25$ is only 1.75 standard deviations below the mean.
6.55 a. .3227 b. .1586
6.57 $z_0 = 0$
6.59 $z_0 = .67$; the 25th and 75th percentiles
6.61 no
6.63 5.065 months
6.65 .0336
6.67 85.36 minutes
6.69 no; $x = 184$ lies only 1.26 standard deviations below the mean.
6.71 7.301 ounces
6.73 a. 141 b. .0401
6.75 .9474
6.77 .3557
6.79 a. $Q_1 = 269.96; Q_3 = 286.04$ b. yes;
 $x = 180$ lies 8.17 standard deviations below the mean.
6.81 a. ≈ 0 b. .6026 c. Sample is not random; results will be biased.
6.83 a. $\pm .52$ standard deviations
 b. ± 1.28 standard deviations
6.85 a. .3085 b. 99.92 degrees
6.87 a. .9544 b. .0561
6.89 a. $z_0 = -1.96$ b. $z_0 = .36$
6.91 a. .9651 b. .1056 c. .0062
6.93 a. .0442 b. .0445
6.95 a. 1.273 b. .1016
6.97 .1244 (exact probability = .1236)
- ### Chapter 7
- 7.1** 1/500
7.11 a. convenience sample c. Yes, but only if the students behave like a random sample from the general population of Native American youth.
7.13 a. first question b. Percent favoring the program decreased, perhaps due to the "spending billions of dollars" wording in the question.
7.15 normal; 53; 3
7.17 normal; 100; 3.16
7.19 a. $\mu = 10; \sigma/\sqrt{n} = .5$
 b. $\mu = 5; \sigma/\sqrt{n} = .2$
 c. $\mu = 120; \sigma/\sqrt{n} = .3536$
7.21 c. roughly mound-shaped
7.23 a. 1 b. .707 c. .500 d. .333
 e. .250 f. .200 g. .100
7.25 a. 106; 2.4 b. .0475 c. .9050
7.27 b. a large number of replications
7.31 a. 1890; 69.282 b. .0559
7.33 a. ≈ 0 b. yes; the value $\bar{x} = 98.25$ is almost 5 standard deviations below the assumed mean, $\mu = 98.6$.
7.35 normal; .7; .0648

- 7.37** a. $p = .3$; $SE = .0458$ b. $p = .1$;
 $SE = .015$ c. $p = .6$; $SE = .0310$
- 7.39** a. .7019 b. .5125
- 7.41** a. .0099 b. .03 c. .0458 d. .05
e. .0458 f. .03 g. .0099
- 7.43** a. yes; $p = .19$; $SE = .03923$ b. .0630
c. .0604 d. The value is unusual because
 $\hat{p} = .30$ lies 2.80 standard deviations above the
mean $p = .19$.
- 7.45** a. approximately normal with mean .13 and
standard deviation .0453 b. .9382
c. ≈ 0 d. .04 to .22
- 7.47** a. approximately normal with mean .75 and
standard deviation .0306 b. .0516
c. .69 to .81
- 7.49** a. LCL = 150.13; UCL = 161.67
- 7.51** a. LCL = 0; UCL = .090
- 7.53** a. LCL = 8598.7; UCL = 12,905.3
- 7.55** LCL = .078; UCL = .316
- 7.57** LCL = .0155; UCL = .0357
- 7.59** mean too large at hours 2, 3, and 4
- 7.63** a. ≈ 12.5 b. .9986 c. They are probably
correct.
- 7.65** c. no
- 7.71** a. cluster sample b. 1-in-10 systematic
sample c. stratified sample
d. 1-in-10 systematic sample e. simple
random sample
- 7.73** a. 131.2; 3.677 b. yes c. .1515
- 7.75** a. LCL = 0; UCL = .0848 b. $\hat{p} > .0848$
- 7.77** yes
- 7.81** a. approximately normal with mean 288 and
standard deviation .9798 b. .0207 c. .0071
- 7.83** UCL = .2273; LCL = $-.0273$
- 7.85** a. 3.5; 1.208
- 7.87** a. 3.5; .854
- 7.89** a. .4938 b. .0062 c. .0000
- 8.11** $\hat{p} = .728$; margin of error (MOE) = .029
- 8.13** $\bar{x} = 39.8$; MOE = 4.768
- 8.15** $\bar{x} = 7.2\%$; MOE = .776
- 8.17** a. $\hat{p} = .51$; MOE = .0327 b. $1.96 \sqrt{\frac{.5(.5)}{900}}$
- 8.19** a. no b. nothing; no
- 8.21** Point estimate is $\bar{x} = 19.3$ with margin
of error = 1.86.
- 8.23** a. (.797, .883) b. (21.469, 22.331)
c. Intervals constructed in this way enclose the
true value of μ 90% of the time in repeated
sampling.
- 8.25** (.846, .908)
- 8.27** a. 3.92 b. 2.772 c. 1.96
- 8.29** a. 3.29 b. 5.16 c. The width increases.
- 8.31** (3.496, 3.904); random sample
- 8.33** a. (.932, 1.088) c. no; $\mu = 1$ is a possible
value for the population mean
- 8.35** a. (.106, .166) b. Increase the sample size
and/or decrease the confidence level.
- 8.37** a. $98.085 < \mu < 98.415$ b. no; perhaps the
value 98.6 is not the true average body
temperature for healthy people.
- 8.39** a. (4.61, 5.99) b. yes
- 8.41** (15.463, 36.937)
- 8.43** a. (17.676, 19.324) b. (15.710, 17.290)
c. (.858, 3.142) d. yes
- 8.45** a. $\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2 = 2617$; MOE = 902.08 b. yes
- 8.47** a. (3.333, 16.667) b. $(-22.040, -7.960)$
c. no d. yes; yes
- 8.49** a. $(-.528, -.032)$; yes, since $\mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$ is
not in the interval.
- 8.51** a. $(-.203, -.117)$ b. random and
independent samples from binomial distributions
- 8.53** a. $(-.221, .149)$ b. no
- 8.55** a. $(-.118, -.002)$ b. Yes, since
 $p_1 - p_2 = 0$ is not in the interval.
- 8.57** a. (.095, .445) b. yes
- 8.59** (.061, .259)
- 8.61** a. $(-.082, .022)$ b. No, since
 $p_1 - p_2 = 0$ is in the interval.
- 8.63** $1.96 \sqrt{\frac{pq}{n}}$; $1.96 \sqrt{\frac{.5(.5)}{n}}$; 385;
quantitative; one; $1.96 \frac{10}{\sqrt{n}}$; 97
- 8.65** a. $\mu < 76.63$ b. $\mu < 1.89$

Chapter 8

- 8.3** a. .160 b. .339 c. .438
- 8.5** a. .554 b. .175 c. .055
- 8.7** a. .179 b. .098 c. .049 d. .031
- 8.9** a. .0588 b. .0898 c. .098 d. .0898
e. .0588 f. $p = .5$

- 8.67 $\mu_1 - \mu_2 < 4$
- 8.69 505
- 8.71 $n_1 = n_2 = 1086$
- 8.73 b. 9604
- 8.75 $n_1 = n_2 = 360$
- 8.77 97
- 8.79 $n_1 = n_2 = 136$
- 8.81 $n_1 = n_2 = 98$
- 8.83 a. $\bar{x} = 29.1$; MOE = .9555 b. (28.298, 29.902) c. $\mu > 28.48$ d. 234
- 8.85 $n_1 = n_2 = 224$
- 8.87 1083
- 8.89 $n_1 = n_2 = 925$
- 8.91 a. $\hat{p}_W = .5$; $\hat{p}_M = .75$ b. $-.313 < p_W - p_M < -.187$ c. There is a difference in the two proportions.
- 8.93 (8.087, 11.313)
- 8.95 97
- 8.97 (33.41, 34.59)
- 8.99 a. MOE = .029 b. 6147
- 8.101 a. (.522, .578) b. (.035, .145); yes.
- 8.103 at least 1825
- 8.105 .3874; .651
- 8.107 a. (2.837, 3.087) b. 276
- 8.109 (\$10.52, \$12.38); no
- 8.111 (2.694, 2.716)
- 8.113 (.161, .239)
- 8.115 at least 97
- 8.117 b. Widths are the same.
- 8.119 a. 9.702 b. (746.298, 765.702) c. yes
- 8.121 b. The standard error and the width of the interval decrease.

Chapter 9

9.1

Test Statistic	Significance Level	One or Two-Tailed Test?	Critical Value	Rejection Region	Conclusion
$z = 0.88$	$\alpha = .05$	Two-tailed	1.96	$ z > 1.96$	Do not reject H_0
$z = -2.67$	$\alpha = .05$	One-tailed (lower)	1.645	$z < -1.645$	Reject H_0
$z = 5.05$	$\alpha = .01$	Two-tailed	2.58	$ z > 2.58$	Reject H_0
$z = -1.22$	$\alpha = .01$	One-tailed (lower)	2.33	$z < -2.33$	Do not reject H_0

- 9.3 a. $z > 2.33$ b. $|z| > 1.96$
c. $z < -2.33$ d. $|z| > 2.58$
- 9.5 a. Do not reject H_0 ; results are not statistically significant. b. Reject H_0 ; results are highly significant. c. Reject H_0 ; results are statistically significant.
- 9.7 a. .0207 b. Reject H_0 ; results are statistically significant. c. yes
- 9.9 p -value = .0644; do not reject H_0 ; results are not statistically significant.
- 9.11 a. $H_0: \mu = 1$; $H_a: \mu \neq 1$
b. p -value = .7414; do not reject H_0
c. There is no evidence to indicate that the average weight is different from 1 pound.
- 9.13 a. $H_0: \mu = 80$ b. $H_a: \mu \neq 80$
c. $z = -3.75$; reject H_0
- 9.15 a. $z = 2.63$; p -value = .0043; reject H_0 at the 1% and 5% levels of significance
- 9.17 yes; $z = 10.94$
- 9.19 no; $z = -1.334$ with p -value = .0918; do not reject H_0
- 9.21 a. $H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$; $H_a: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > 0$; one-tailed b. $z = 2.074$; reject H_0
- 9.23 a. $z = -2.26$; p -value = .0238; reject H_0
b. (-3.55, -.25) c. no
- 9.25 a. $H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$; $H_a: \mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq 0$
b. yes; $z = 8.77$ c. p -value ≈ 0
- 9.27 a. yes; $z = -3.18$; p -value = .0014
b. (-3.01, -.71); yes
- 9.29 a. $z = -2.22$ with p -value = .0264
b. significant at the 5% but not the 1% level.
- 9.31 $H_0: p = .4$; $H_a: p \neq .4$ b. p -value = .093; not statistically significant c. no
- 9.33 a. $H_0: p = .15$; $H_a: p < .15$ b. Reject H_0 ; $z = -4.53$. c. ≈ 0
- 9.35 a. $H_0: p = 2/3$ b. $H_a: p > 2/3$
c. yes; $z = 4.6$ d. p -value $< .0002$
- 9.37 no; $z = -.90$
- 9.39 no; $z = -1.06$
- 9.41 no; $z = -.71$
- 9.43 a. $H_0: p_1 - p_2 = 0$; $H_a: p_1 - p_2 < 0$
b. one-tailed c. Do not reject H_0 ; $z = -.84$
- 9.45 a. yes; $z = -2.40$ b. (-.43, -.05)
- 9.47 Do not reject H_0 ; $z = -.39$; there is insufficient evidence to indicate a difference in the two population proportions.

- 9.49** $p_1 - p_2 > .001$; the risk is at least 1/1000 higher when taking *Prempro*.
- 9.51** Reject H_0 ; $z = 3.14$ with p -value = .0008; researcher's conclusions are confirmed.
- 9.55** The power increases.
- 9.57** a. p -value < .0002 b. Reject H_0 ; $z = 4.47$
- 9.59** a. $H_0: \mu = 7.5$; $H_a: \mu < 7.5$
b. one-tailed d. $z = -5.477$; reject H_0
- 9.61** a. $H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$; $H_a: \mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq 0$
b. two-tailed c. no; $z = -.954$
- 9.63** no; do not reject H_0 ; $z = 1.684$
- 9.65** a. no, $z = .16$ b. .4364
c. Do not reject H_0 .
- 9.67** yes; $z = 4$; reject H_0
- 9.69** yes; $z = 4.00$
- 9.71** a. yes; $z = 4.33$ b. (7.12, 18.88)
- 9.73** a. no; $z = -.92$ b. no; $z = -.42$ c. no
- 9.75** no; $z = 2.19$
- 9.77** yes; $z = -2.08$
- 9.79** yes; $z = 3.32$
- 9.81** a. (1447.49, 4880.51) b. between 1500 and 5000 more meters per week; they only have one stroke to practice.
- 9.83** a. $H_0: \mu = 94$; $H_a: \mu \neq 94$
b. $z = -1.331$ c. .1832
d. Do not reject H_0 . e. no
- 9.85** a. .7422 b. .9783 c. power increases
- Chapter 10**
- 10.1** a. 2.015 b. 2.306 c. 1.330
d. 1.96
- 10.3** a. $.02 < p$ -value < .05
b. p -value < .005 c. p -value > .20
d. p -value < .005
- 10.5** a. $\bar{x} = 7.05$; $s = .4994$ b. (7.496)
c. Reject H_0 ; $t = -2.849$ d. Yes.
- 10.7** no; $t = -1.195$
- 10.9** a. yes; $t = -3.044$ b. 98.316
- 10.11** (3.652, 3.912)
- 10.13** a. Reject H_0 ; $t = -4.31$. b. (23.23, 29.97)
c. The pretreatment mean looks smaller than the other two means.
- 10.17** (233.98, 259.94)
- 10.19** a. 3.775 b. 21.2258
- 10.21** a. $H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$; $H_a: \mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq 0$
b. $|t| > 2.771$ c. $t = 2.795$
d. p -value < .01 e. Reject H_0
- 10.23** a. yes; larger s^2 /smaller $s^2 = 1.36$
b. $t = .06$ with p -value = .95 c. 19.1844
d. Do not reject H_0 . e. (-5.223, 5.503); yes
- 10.25** a. no; $t = -1.16$ b. p -value = .260
c. yes; larger s^2 /smaller $s^2 = 2.88$
- 10.27** a. no; larger s^2 /smaller $s^2 = 16.22$
b. yes; $t = -2.412$; $.02 < p$ -value < .05
- 10.29** a. yes b. no; larger s^2 /smaller $s^2 = 3.72$
c. Do not reject H_0 ; $t = .10$ with p -value > .20.
- 10.31** Do not reject H_0 ; $t = 0.24$ with p -value > .10.
- 10.33** a. Do not reject H_0 ; $t = -.93$.
b. (-5.81, 2.18), using $df = 29$; yes
- 10.35** a. Reject H_0 ; $t = 2.372$ with $.02 < p$ -value < .05 b. (.014, .586) c. 62 pairs
- 10.37** a. Do not reject H_0 ; $t = 1.177$.
b. p -value > .20 c. (-.082, .202)
d. random sample from normal distribution
- 10.39** a. Do not reject H_0 ; $t = 1.984$; (-7.28, 170.94). b. Reject H_0 ; $t = 2.307$; (6.867, 208.433). c. Reject H_0 ; $t = 4.38$.
d. (-1.6, 8.2); yes
- 10.41** b. yes; $t = 9.150$ with p -value < .01
c. (80.472, 133.328)
- 10.43** a. yes; $t = -4.326$; reject H_0 .
b. (-2.594, -.566) c. at least 65 pairs
- 10.45** a. yes; $t = 2.82$; reject H_0 . b. 1.488 d. yes
- 10.47** Do not reject H_0 ; $t = 1.03$ with p -value > .10; do not proceed with the installation.
- 10.49** (.190, .685)
- 10.51** Reject H_0 ; $\chi^2 = 22.449$.
- 10.53** a. no; $t = -.232$ b. yes; $\chi^2 = 20.18$
- 10.55** a. no b. yes; $z = 3.262$
- 10.57** no; $\chi^2 = 29.433$
- 10.59** (.667, 4.896)
- 10.61** $F = 1.057$ with p -value > .20; do not reject H_0 ; $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$.
- 10.63** (1.544, 4.003)
- 10.65** Rest: $F = 1.03$ with p -value > .20; 80% maximal O_2 : $F = 2.01$ with p -value > .20; maximal O_2 : $F = 14.29$ with p -value < .01; use the unpooled t -test for maximal O_2 .
- 10.71** (9.860, 12.740)

10.73 yes, $t = 5.985$; reject H_0 ; (28.375, 33.625).

10.75 yes, $F = 3.268$

10.77 72

10.79 (22.578, 26.796)

10.81 at least 136 **b.** Lower the confidence level; redesign the experiment as a paired difference test.

10.83 **a.** yes **b.** $F = 19.516$; there is a difference in the population variances.

10.85 **a.** random independent samples from normal distributions with equal variances; no
b. yes; $t = 3.237$ with p -value $< .01$
c. yes; $t = 60.36$ with p -value $< .01$

10.87 no; $t = 2.2$ with p -value $> .10$

10.89 no; $t = -.177$ with p -value $> .20$

10.91 no, $t = -1.712$

10.93 unpaired: (-1.69, .19); paired: (-1.49, -.01); paired interval is slightly narrower.

10.95 **a.** no, $t = 2.571$ **b.** (.000, .020)

10.97 **a.** two-tailed; $H_a: \sigma_1^2 \neq \sigma_2^2$ **b.** lower-tailed; $H_a: \sigma_1^2 < \sigma_2^2$ **c.** upper-tailed; $H_a: \sigma_1^2 > \sigma_2^2$

10.99 **a.** no, $\chi^2 = 7.008$ **b.** (.185, 2.465)

10.101 Reject H_0 ; $t = 2.425$; drug increases average reaction time.

10.103 yes, $t = -2.945$

10.105 no

10.107 no, $t = 1.86$ with p -value = .112

10.109 Use pooled t -test; $t = -1.82$ with p -value $> .10$; results are nonsignificant.

10.111 **a.** (5.814, 7.886) **b.** random sample; sampled population is normal.

10.113 Reject H_0 ; $t = 4.57$; yes.

10.115 **a.** Reject H_0 ; $t = 4.38$; yes.
b. p -value $< .01$; yes

10.117 **a.** $t > 1.8$ **b.** $|t| > 2.37$ **c.** $t < -2.6$

10.121 Do not reject H_0 ; $t = -1.438$ with p -value = .1782

10.123 yes; $t = -3.33$ with p -value = .0030

Chapter 11

11.1	Source	df
	Treatments	5
	Error	54
	Total	59

11.3 **a.** (2.731, 3.409) **b.** (.07, 1.03)

11.5	a.	Source	df	SS	MS	F
		Treatments	3	339.8	113.267	16.98
		Error	20	133.4	6.67	
		Total	23			

b. $df_1 = 3$ and $df_2 = 20$ **c.** $F > 3.10$

d. yes, $F = 16.98$ **e.** p -value $< .005$; yes

11.7 **a.** CM = 103.142857; Total SS = 26.8571

b. SST = 14.5071; MST = 7.2536

c. SSE = 12.3500; MSE = 1.1227

d. Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Trts	2	14.51	7.25	6.46	0.014
Error	11	12.35	1.12		
Total	13	26.86			

f. $F = 6.46$; reject H_0 with $.01 < p$ -value $< .025$.

11.9 **a.** (1.95, 3.65) **b.** (.27, 2.83)

11.11 **a.** (67.86, 84.14) **b.** (55.82, 76.84)

c. (-3.629, 22.963) **d.** No, they are not independent.

11.13 **a.** Each observation is the mean length of 10 leaves. **b.** yes, $F = 57.38$ with p -value = .000 **c.** Reject H_0 ; $t = 12.09$.
d. (1.810, 2.924)

11.15

Analysis of Variance for Percent

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Method	2	0.0000041	0.0000021	16.38	0.000
Error	12	0.0000015	0.0000001		
Total	14	0.0000056			

11.17 **a.** completely randomized design

b.

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
State	3	3272.2	1090.7	26.44	0.000
Error	16	660.0	41.3		
Total	19	3932.2			

c. $F = 26.44$; reject H_0 with p -value = .000.

11.19 Sample means must be independent; equal sample sizes.

11.21 **a.** 1.878s **b.** 2.1567s

11.23 \bar{x}_1 \bar{x}_2 \bar{x}_3 \bar{x}_4

11.25 **a.** no; $F = .60$ with p -value = .562

b. no differences

11.27 **a.** yes; $F = 8.55$, p -value = .005

b. (-157.41, -28.59) **c.** \bar{x}_3 \bar{x}_1 \bar{x}_2

11.29

Source	df	SS	MS	F
Treatments	2	11.4	5.70	4.01
Blocks	5	17.1	3.42	2.41
Error	10	14.2	1.42	
Total	17	42.7		

- 11.31** $(-3.833, -.767)$
11.33 a. yes; $F = 19.19$ b. yes; $F = 135.75$
 c. $\bar{x}_1 \quad \bar{x}_3 \quad \bar{x}_4 \quad \bar{x}_2$ d. $(-5.332, -2.668)$
 e. yes
11.35 a. 7 b. 7 c. 5 e. yes; $F = 9.68$
 f. yes; $F = 8.59$

11.37

Two-way ANOVA: y versus Blocks, Chemicals

Analysis of Variance for y

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Blocks	2	7.1717	3.5858	40.21	0.000
Chemical	3	5.2000	1.7333	19.44	0.002
Error	6	0.5350	0.0892		
Total	11	12.9067			

- 11.39** a. yes; $F = 10.06$ b. yes; $F = 10.88$
 c. $\omega = 4.35$ d. $(1.12, 5.88)$

11.41

Two-way ANOVA: Cost versus Estimator, Job

Analysis of Variance for Cost

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Estimator	2	10.862	5.431	7.20	0.025
Job	3	37.607	12.536	16.61	0.003
Error	6	4.528	0.755		
Total	11	52.997			

- 11.43** a. Blocks are items; treatments are stores.
 b. yes, $F = 14.79$; p -value = .000
 c. yes, $F = 19.39$; p -value = .000

11.45 a. 20 b. 60 c.

Source	df
A	3
B	4
AB	12
Error	40
Total	59

11.47 $(-1.11, 5.11)$

- 11.49** a. strong interaction present
 b. $F = 37.85$ with p -value = .000; yes d. no

- 11.51** b. yes c. Since the interaction is significant, attention should be focused on means for the individual factor-level combinations.
 d. Training: $.05 < p$ -value $< .10$; ability: p -value $< .005$; interaction: $.01 < p$ -value $< .025$

- 11.53** a. 2×4 factorial; students; gender at two levels, schools at four levels c. no;
 $F = 1.19$ e. Main effect for schools is significant; $F = 27.75$; Tukey's $\omega = 82.63$.

11.55 a.

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Training	1	4489.00	4489.00	117.49	0.000
Situation	1	132.25	132.25	3.46	0.087
Interaction	1	56.25	56.25	1.47	0.248
Error	12	458.50	38.21		
Total	15	5136.00			

- b. No. $F = 1.47$; p -value = .248. c. no
 $F = 3.46$; p -value = .087. d. yes
 $F = 117.49$; p -value = .000.

- 11.57** significant differences between treatments A and C, B and C, C and E and D and E

- 11.59** a. significant difference in treatment means; $F = 27.78$ b. Tukey's $\omega = .190$
 c. yes; $F = 6.59$

11.61

One-way ANOVA: Sales versus Program

Analysis of Variance for Sales

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Program	3	1385.8	461.9	9.84	0.000
Error	23	1079.4	46.9		
Total	26	2465.2			

- 11.63** a. no; $F = 1.40$ b. p -value $> .10$
 c. yes; $F = 6.51$ d. yes; $F = 7.37$

- 11.65** a. 2×3 factorial experiment b. no;
 $F = .45$ with p -value = .642
 d. $(-22.56, -5.84)$

- 11.67** a. randomized block design
 b.

Two-way ANOVA: Total versus Week, Store

Analysis of Variance for Total

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Week	3	571.7	190.6	8.27	0.003
Store	4	684.6	171.2	7.43	0.003
Error	12	276.4	23.0		
Total	19	1532.7			

- c. yes; $F = 7.43$ d. $\omega = 10.82$

- 11.69** a. factorial experiment b. yes; $F = 7.61$
 c. $\omega = 2.67$

- 11.71** a. completely randomized design
 b. Yes, there is a significant difference.
 $F = 126.85$, p -value = .000

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Site	2	132.277	66.139	126.85	0.000
Error	21	10.950	0.521		
Total	23	143.227			

- 11.73** There is no evidence of non-normality. There appears to be slightly larger error variation for the smaller values compared to the larger values of y.

Chapter 12

- 12.1** y-intercept = 1, slope = 2

- 12.3** $y = 3 - x$

- 12.7** a. $\hat{y} = 6.00 - .557x$ c. 4.05

d. Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	5.4321	5.4321
Residual Error	4	0.1429	0.0357
Total	5	5.5750	

- 12.9** a. $S_{xx} = 21066.82$; $S_{yy} = .374798$;
 $S_{xy} = 88.80003$ b. $\hat{y} = .0187 + .00422x$
 d. .44
 e. Analysis of Variance
- | Source | DF | SS | MS |
|----------------|----|---------|---------|
| Regression | 1 | 0.37431 | 0.37431 |
| Residual Error | 7 | 0.00049 | 0.00007 |
| Total | 8 | 0.37480 | |
- 12.11** a. $y = \text{API}$; $x = \text{ELL}$ b. yes
 c. $\hat{y} = 731.277 - 3.040x$ d. yes
- 12.13** a. yes b. $\hat{y} = -11.665 + .755x$
 c. $\hat{y} = 52.51$
- 12.15** a. strong positive linear relationship
 b. approximately 1
 c. $\hat{y} = 12.221 + .815x$ d. $\hat{y} = 62.75$
- 12.17** a. yes, $t = 5.20$ b. $F = 27.00$
 c. $t_{.025} = 3.182$; $F_{.05} = 10.13$
- 12.19** a. yes, $F = 152.10$ with p -value = .000
 b. $r^2 = .974$
- 12.21** a. $y = \text{cost}$, $x = \text{distance}$
 b. $\hat{y} = 128.58 + .12715x$
 d. $t = 6.09$; $r^2 = .699$
- 12.23** a. yes; $t = 3.79$ and $F = 14.37$ with
 p -value = .005 b. no c. $r^2 = .642$
 d. $\text{MSE} = 5.025$ e. (.186, .764)
- 12.25** a. yes b. $\hat{y} = -26.82 + 1.2617x$
 c. yes; $t = 7.49$ and $F = 56.05$ with
 p -value = .000 d. (.7768, 1.7466)
- 12.27** a. yes; reject H_0 , $t = 7.15$
 b. (.5362, 1.0944) c. yes; the value
 $\beta = 1$ is contained in the interval.
- 12.29** plot residuals versus fit; random scatter of
 points, free of patterns
- 12.31** no
- 12.33** a. slight curve b. 95.9% of overall
 variation explained by the straight-line model
 c. strong curvilinear pattern indicates
 relationship may be curvilinear
- 12.35** a. fairly strong positive relationship
 b. yes; Toshiba 37HLX95 c. yes; no
- 12.37** a. (4.6006, 5.1708) b. (4.2886, 5.4829)
 c. $x = 8$; extrapolation
- 12.39** a. slight curve b. 95.7% of overall
 variation is explained by the straight-line model.
 c. Pattern indicates relationship may be
 curvilinear.
- 12.41** a. (2.01, 3.74) b. (-.77, 3.02) c. $\bar{x} = 0$
- 12.43** a. (198.178, 244.254)
 b. (126.235, 316.197) c. no

- 12.47** a. positive b. $r = .9487$; $r^2 = .9000$
- 12.49** b. $r = .982$ c. 96.5%
- 12.51** a. positive b. $r = .760$; yes, $t = 2.615$
- 12.53** yes; $t = 3.158$ with p -value < .01
- 12.55** a. correlation analysis b. $r = .981$
- 12.57** a. possibly b. $r = .658$; yes, $t = 2.140$
- 12.59** a. yes b. $\hat{y} = 80.85 + 270.82x$
 c. yes; $t = 3.96$ with p -value = .003
 d. (112.1, 157.9)
- 12.61** a. $r = .980$ b. $r^2 = .961$
 c. $\hat{y} = 21.9 + 15.0x$ d. Variance is not
 constant for all x .

12.63

Regression Analysis: API versus ELL

The regression equation is

$\text{API} = 731 - 3.04 \text{ ELL}$

Predictor	Coef	StDev	T	P
Constant	731.28	22.81	32.06	0.000
ELL	-3.0399	0.7551	-4.03	0.007

$S = 33.72$ $R\text{-Sq} = 73.0\%$ $R\text{-Sq}(\text{adj}) = 68.5\%$

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Regression	1	18422	18422	16.21	0.007
Residual Error	6	6820	1137		
Total	7	25242			

- 12.65** a. no; $t = 2.066$ with p -value > .05
 b. $r^2 = .299$
- 12.67** no; variance is not constant for all x .
- 12.69** a. strong positive relationship
 b. $r^2 = .778$; 77.8%
 c. $\hat{y} = -14.150 + 21.430x$; yes, $t = 5.30$
 d. yes
- 12.71** at the extremes of the experimental region
- 12.73** a. $\hat{y} = 20.47 - .758x$
 b.
- | Source | DF | SS | MS | F | P |
|----------------|----|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| Regression | 1 | 287.28 | 287.28 | 493.40 | 0.000 |
| Residual Error | 8 | 4.66 | 0.58 | | |
| Total | 9 | 291.94 | | | |
- c. Reject H_0 , $t = -22.21$ d. (-.86, -.66)
 e. (9.296, 10.420) f. $r^2 = .984$
- 12.77** a. y -intercept = 3; slope = -0.5

Chapter 13

- 13.1** b. parallel lines
- 13.3** a. yes, $F = 57.44$ with p -value < .005
 b. $R^2 = .94$
- 13.5** a. quadratic b. $R^2 = .815$; relatively good fit
 c. yes, $F = 37.37$ with p -value = .000

- 13.7** a. $b_0 = 10.5638$ b. yes, $t = 15.20$ with p -value = .000
- 13.9** b. $t = -8.11$ with p -value = .000; reject H_0 : $\beta_2 = 0$ in favor of H_a : $\beta_2 < 0$.
- 13.11** a. $R^2 = .9955$ b. $R^2(\text{adj}) = 99.3\%$
c. The quadratic model fits slightly better.
- 13.13** a. Use variables x_2, x_3 , and x_4 . b. no
- 13.15** a. $\hat{y} = -8.177 + 292x_1 + 4.434x_2$
b. Reject H_0 , $F = 16.28$ with p -value = .002. The model contributes significant information for the prediction of y . c. yes, $t = 5.54$ with p -value = .001 d. $R^2 = .823$; 82.3%
- 13.17** a. quantitative b. quantitative
c. qualitative; $x_1 = 1$ if plant B, 0 otherwise; $x_2 = 1$ if plant C, 0 otherwise d. quantitative
e. qualitative; $x_1 = 1$ if day shift, 0 if night shift
- 13.19** a. x_2 b. $\hat{y} = 12.6 + 3.9x_2^2$ or $\hat{y} = 13.14 - 1.2x_2 + 3.9x_2^2$
- 13.21** a. $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1x_1 + \beta_2x_2 + \beta_3x_1x_2 + \epsilon$ with $x_2 = 1$ if cucumber, 0 if cotton c. No, the test for interaction yields $t = .63$ with p -value = .533. d. yes
- 13.23** $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1x_1 + \beta_2x_1^2 + \beta_3x_2 + \beta_4x_1x_2 + \beta_5x_1^2x_2 + \epsilon$
- 13.25** a. $\hat{y} = 8.585 + 3.8208x - 0.21663x^2$
b. $R^2 = .944$ c. yes; $F = 33.44$
d. yes; $t = -4.93$ with p -value = .008
e. no
- 13.27** b. $\hat{y} = 4.10 + 1.04x_1 + 3.53x_2 + 4.76x_3 - 0.43x_1x_2 - 0.08x_1x_3$ c. yes; $t = -2.61$ with p -value = .028 d. no; $F = 3.86$; consider eliminating the interaction terms.
- 13.29** a. $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1x_1 + \beta_2x_2 + \beta_3x_1^2 + \beta_4x_1x_2 + \beta_5x_1^2x_2 + \epsilon$
b. $F = 25.85$; $R^2 = .768$
c. $\hat{y} = 4.51 + 6.394x_1 + .1318x_1^2$
d. $\hat{y} = -46.34 + 23.458x_1 - .3707x_1^2$
e. no; $t = .78$ with p -value = .439
- 13.31** a. no; x_1, x_2, x_3 b. $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1x_1 + \beta_2x_2 + \beta_3x_3 + \beta_4x_4 + \beta_5x_5 + \epsilon$
c. $R^2 = 91.4\%$ and $R^2(\text{adj}) = 88.7\%$; yes.
d. x_1, x_2 , and possibly x_4 ; $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1x_1 + \beta_2x_2 + \beta_3x_4 + \epsilon$; $R^2(\text{adj}) = 89.8\%$; the reduced model is better than the full model.
- 13.35** a. 99.9%
b. yes; $F = 1676.61$ with p -value = .000
c. yes; $t = -2.65$ with p -value = .045
d. yes; $t = 15.14$ with p -value = .000
- e. Linear: $R^2(\text{adj}) = 91.9\%$, quadratic: $R^2(\text{adj}) = 99.8\%$, quadratic term is significant.
f. Quadratic term is missing.

Chapter 14

- 14.3** a. $X^2 > 12.59$ b. $X^2 > 21.666$
c. $X^2 > 29.8194$ d. $X^2 > 5.99$
- 14.5** a. $H_0: p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = p_4 = p_5 = 1/5$
b. 4 c. 9.4877 d. $X^2 = 8.00$
e. Do not reject H_0 .
- 14.7** Yes, $X^2 = 24.48$; drivers tend to prefer the inside lanes.
- 14.9** no, $X^2 = 3.63$
- 14.11** no, $X^2 = 13.58$
- 14.13** yes; do not reject H_0 ; $X^2 = 1.247$
- 14.15** yes; reject H_0 ; $X^2 = 28.386$
- 14.17** 8
- 14.19** Reject H_0 ; $X^2 = 18.352$ with p -value = .000.
- 14.21** a. yes; $X^2 = 7.267$
b. $.025 < p$ -value $< .05$
- 14.23** a. yes; reject H_0 ; $X^2 = 20.937$
b. no; $X^2 = 1.255$
- 14.25** a. no; do not reject H_0 ; $X^2 = 6.447$
b. p -value $> .10$; yes
- 14.27** a. $X^2 = 10.597$ b. $X^2 > 13.2767$
c. Do not reject H_0 . d. $.025 < p$ -value $< .05$
- 14.29** yes; $X^2 = 24.31$
- 14.31** a. Each care type represents a binomial population in which we measure the presence or absence of EMI services.
b. yes; $X^2 = 18.446$
- 14.33** Yes, reject H_0 ; $X^2 = 36.499$.
- 14.35** no, $X^2 = 4.4$ with p -value $> .10$
- 14.37** no, $X^2 = 1.89$ with p -value $> .10$
- 14.39** a. no, $X^2 = 1.815$ b. p -value $> .10$
- 14.43** no; do not reject H_0 ; $X^2 = 1.311$.
- 14.45** a. Reject H_0 ; $X^2 = 18.527$. b. Reject H_0 ; $z = 4.304$; yes.
- 14.49** yes, $X^2 = 7.488$ with $.005 < p$ -value $< .01$
- 14.51** yes, $X^2 = 6.190$ with $.025 < p$ -value $< .05$; (.347, .483)
- 14.53** a. no; $X^2 = 3.259$ with p -value = .196
b. no; $X^2 = 1.054$ with p -value = .788
c. yes
- 14.55** no, $X^2 = 3.953$ with p -value = .139

- 14.57** a. Do not reject H_0 ; $X^2 = 3.660$ with p -value = .454; yes.
14.59 a. yes; $X^2 = 11.690$ with p -value = .003
 b. The susceptibility to a cold seems to decrease as the number of relationships increases.
14.61 Yes, reject H_0 ; $X^2 = 16.535$.
14.63 a. 27.69 b. 5.99
14.65 Consumers have a preference; $X^2 = 18.5$ with p -value = .0001.
14.69 no; $X_2 = 2.87$ with p -value = .2378

Chapter 15

- 15.1** a. T_1^* b. $T \leq 31$ c. $T \leq 27$
15.3 a. H_0 : population distributions are identical; H_a : population 1 shifted to the left of population 2. b. $T_1 = 16$; $T_1^* = 39$
 c. $T \leq 19$ d. yes; reject H_0
15.5 Do not reject H_0 ; $z = -1.59$.
15.7 Do not reject H_0 ; $T = 102$.
15.9 yes; reject H_0 ; $T = 45$
15.11 yes; reject H_0 ; $T = 44$
15.13 b. $\alpha = .002, .007, .022, .054, .115$
15.15 one-tailed: $n = 10$: $\alpha = .001, .011, .055$;
 $n = 15$: $\alpha = .004, .018, .059$; $n = 20$:
 $\alpha = .001, .006, .021, .058, .132$; two-tailed:
 $n = 10$: $\alpha = .002, .022, .110$; $n = 15$:
 $\alpha = .008, .036, .118$; $n = 20$: $\alpha = .002, .012, .042, .116$
15.17 a. $H_0: p = \frac{1}{2}$; $H_a: p \neq \frac{1}{2}$; rejection region: $\{0, 1, 7, 8\}$; $x = 6$; do not reject H_0 at $\alpha = .07$; p -value = .290.
15.19 $z = 3.15$; reject H_0 .
15.21 b. $T = \min\{T^+, T^-\}$ c. $T \leq 137$
 d. Do not reject H_0 .
15.23 Do not reject H_0 ; $z = -.34$.
15.25 a. Reject H_0 ; $T = 1.5$ b. Results do not agree.
15.27 a. no; $T = 6.5$
15.29 a. Do not reject H_0 ; $x = 8$. b. Do not reject H_0 ; $T = 14.5$.
15.31 a. paired difference test, sign test, Wilcoxon signed-rank test b. Reject H_0 with both tests; $x = 0$ and $T = 0$.
15.33 yes, $H = 13.90$
15.35 a. no; $H = 2.63$ b. p -value $> .10$
 c. p -value $> .10$
15.37 no; $H = 2.54$ with p -value $> .10$
15.39 a. Reject H_0 ; $F_r = 21.19$.
 b. p -value $< .005$ d. $F = 75.43$
 e. p -value $< .005$ f. Results are identical.
15.41 a. Do not reject H_0 ; $F_r = 5.81$.
 b. $.05 < p$ -value $< .10$
15.43 a. $r_s \geq .425$ b. $r_s \geq .601$
15.45 a. $|r_s| \geq .400$ b. $|r_s| \geq .526$
15.47 a. $-.593$ b. yes
15.49 a. $r_s = .811$ b. yes
15.51 yes
15.53 yes, $r_s = .9118$
15.55 a. Do not reject H_0 ; $x = 2$.
 b. Do not reject H_0 ; $t = -1.646$.
15.57 a. Do not reject H_0 ; $x = 7$.
 b. Do not reject H_0 ; $x = 7$.
15.59 Do not reject H_0 with the Wilcoxon rank sum test ($T = 77$) or the paired difference test ($t = .30$).
15.61 Do not reject H_0 using the sign test ($x = 2$); no.
15.63 yes; $r_s = -.845$
15.65 Reject H_0 ; $T = 14$.
15.67 a. Reject H_0 ; $F_r = 20.13$. b. The results are the same.
15.69 a. Reject H_0 ; $H = 9.08$.
 b. $.025 < p$ -value $< .05$ c. The results are the same.
15.71 a. no b. significant differences among the responses to the three rates of application; $F_r = 10.33$ with p -value = .006
15.73 $T = 19$. $T_{.05} = 21$ ($T_{.01} = 18$.) Reject H_0 .
15.75 $z = 1.18 < z_{.05} = 1.645$; lighting not effective
15.77 $H = 7.43$ $df = 3$ p -value = 0.059; no significant difference
15.79 a. $r_s = .738$. b. p -value = $.025 < .05$; yes, positive correlation

Index

- Absolute values, 61, 644
- Acceptance region, 346, 347
- Addition Rule, 146–147
- Alternative hypothesis
 - explanation of, 344–345, 403
 - use of, 349, 350
- Analysis of variance (ANOVA). *See also* Variance
 - assumptions for, 449–450, 487–490
 - calculations for, 459
 - for completely randomized design, 450–458
 - explanation of, 449, 490–491
 - F test, 481–482, 518, 556
 - for linear regression, 509–511
 - in mean squares, 452, 454, 457, 468, 470, 510
 - MINITAB, 453–454, 458, 483, 489–490, 492–495, 653
 - for multiple regression, 555–556
 - for randomized block design, 467–473
 - ranking population means and, 462–465
 - residual plots and, 488–490
 - $a \times b$ factorial experiment and, 478–484
- Analysis of variance (ANOVA) table, 452, 453, 468–469, 481, 510, 555, 556
- Applets
 - binomial probabilities, 190–192, 239–240
 - box plot, 82–83
 - Central Limit Theorem, 265
 - chi-square probabilities, 419–420, 596
 - chi-square test of independence, 605
 - comparing t and z , 390–391
 - confidence interval, 312, 314
 - correlation, 106–107, 535
 - discrete probability distribution, 165–166
 - explanation of, 6, 34
 - F probabilities, 456, 470
 - goodness-of-fit test, 598
 - large-sample test of population mean, 353–354
 - least-squares method, 506, 508
 - line, 109
 - mean and median, 56
 - normal approximation to binomial probabilities, 239–240
 - normal curves, 224
 - normal distribution probabilities, 230–233
 - normal probabilities for means, 270–271
 - power of z -test, 358
 - scatterplot, 104, 504
 - simple events, 138
 - small-sample test of population mean, 396
 - Student's t probabilities, 389, 392, 412
 - test for slope, 516
 - t -probabilities, 537
 - two-sample t test, 404
 - use of, 35–37
 - variance, 64
 - z -scores, 232–233
- Approximation
 - normal, 237–243, 634–637, 640–641, 647–648
 - Poisson, 201–202, 237
 - Satterthwaite's, 406
- Arithmetic mean. *See* Means
- Average. *See* Means
- Bar charts
 - explanation of, 12–14
 - for quantitative data, 17–19
 - stacked, 98–100
 - using MINITAB, 13, 14, 39, 40
- Bayes' Rule, 160–161
- Best-fit line, 110, 506
- Bias, in sample surveys, 256, 257
- Biased estimator, 301
- Bimodal distributions, 23, 57
- Binomial distributions, 201–202, 237
- Binomial experiments
 - examples of, 184–186
 - explanation of, 184, 614
- Binomial formula, 188, 191, 237
- Binomial probabilities
 - calculation of, 201, 240–241
 - cumulative, 188–189
 - individual, 188
 - MINITAB, 209–211
- Binomial probability distribution
 - applet, 190–192
 - calculation of, 188
 - explanation of, 184–189
 - MINITAB, 192, 202, 209–211
 - normal approximation to, 237–243
- Binomial proportions
 - estimating difference between two, 324–326
 - large-sample test of hypothesis for, 368–371, 373–376
- Binomial random variables
 - examples of, 275
 - explanation of, 184
 - mean and standard deviation for, 186–188
 - normal approximation and, 237–238
- Bivariate data
 - explanation of, 9, 98
 - graphs for qualitative variables and, 98–100
 - MINITAB to describe, 115–118
 - numerical measures for quantitative, 105–112
 - scatterplots for quantitative variables and, 102–104
- Blocking. *See also* Randomized block designs
 - cautions regarding, 473
 - explanation of, 414, 467
- Block means
 - comparing treatment and, 472–473
 - testing for, 470
- Blocks, 414
- Box plots
 - applet, 82–83
 - construction of, 81–82
 - explanation of, 80
- Categorical data
 - applications of chi-square test and, 615–616
 - contingency tables and, 602–607
 - equivalence of statistical tests and, 614–615
 - explanation of, 10, 595
 - goodness-of-fit test for, 597–599
 - graphs for, 11–14
 - Pearson's chi-square statistic for, 596–597
 - two-way classification with fixed row or column totals and, 610–612
- Causal relationships, 580
- Cell count
 - estimation of, 603–604
 - explanation of, 595
- Cell probabilities, 597
- Central Limit Theorem (CLT)
 - applet, 265
 - explanation of, 263–265, 281–282
 - MINITAB, 288–290
 - statistical inference and, 266
- Charts. *See also* Graphs
 - bar, 12–14, 17–19, 98–100
 - line, 19
 - Pareto, 13
 - pie, 12, 13, 17–19, 98–100